

Appl. No. 10/618,399
Amdt Dated Apr. 15, 2005
Reply to Office Action of Feb. 15, 2005

REMARKS

Applicant appreciates the allowabilities of claims 1-2.

In the advisory action, the Examiner withdrew the rejection to claim 12. Thus, claim 12 is allowable. Applicant has rewritten claim 12 in an independent form to include all limitations of the base claim 3 and those of the intervening claim 10. Allowance of the amended claim 12 is respectfully requested.

Similar to claim 12, in the last response Applicant also challenged the properness of rejection to claim 11 (page 8, lines 9-12), while in the advisory action the Examiner says nothing on claim 11 to rebut Applicant's arguments. In fact, as mentioned in the last response, the reference does not disclose the features defined in claim 11. Thus, Applicant has also rewritten claim 11 in an independent form to include all limitations of the base claim 3 and those of the intervening claim 10. Allowance of the amended claim 11 is also respectfully requested.

Applicant has canceled all the claims except the allowed claims 1 and 2 and the allowable claims 11 and 12.

In view of the foregoing, the subject application as claimed in the pending claims is in a condition for allowance and an action to such effect is earnestly solicited. *The Examiner is invited to call the undersigned to solve any problems/misunderstandings, if any, so as not to necessitate any proccessional extension.*

Appl. No. 10/618,399
Amdt Dated Apr. 15, 2005
Reply to Office Action of Feb. 15, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Lin et al.

By 
Wei Te Chung

Registration No.: 43,325

Foxconn International, Inc.

P. O. Address: 1650 Memorex Drive, Santa Clara, CA 95050

Tel No.: (408) 919-6137